IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ petition No. 1946 of 2001

PETITIONER : Namdas Tandon S/o Dhansingh
aged about 54 years resident
of Village Tilai P.O. Padumtara
Dist. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
presently posted as Rural
Agriculture Extension officer
at village Tilai P.O. Padumtara
Dist. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS : [1] State of Chhattisgarh
through Secretary Department
of Agriculture
D.K. Bhawan Mantralaya
Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1709/01
Presented by Shri Rajeshwar Jadav
Dated 29.9.01

[2] Director Agriculture
Agriculture Department
Raipur (C.G.)

[3] Joint Director Agriculture
Agriculture Department
Raipur Division
Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY.
27.8.01
ADVT. TO A.G. BILASPUR

Attested
AR(J) 28/11/04

[4] Deputy Director Agriculture
Collectorate
Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

NOTARY
R.P. Sharma
R.N. MP/30/4/6-98
Area-Rajnandgaon
Distt. (M.P.)
26.8.2004
Govt. of M.P. (India)

Amendment as per corporate court order's dtd. 17.11.2003 & 19.01.2004

Rajesh Yadav (Advocate)

[5] State of Madhya Pradesh
Through : Secretary
Department of Agricultural
Vallabh Bhawan Bhopal.
(M.P.)



PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA
FOR A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS ETC.

(8)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 1946 of 2001

Petitioner : Namdas Tandon

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Shri Shashank Dubey, Senior counsel with Shri Neelab Dubey, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri G.D. Vaswani, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents.

ORAL ORDER

(28th July, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, submits that in view of the statement made in para 6 of the return dated 31.1.2006, filed by the respondents, the petitioner is not interested to pursue the matter further, para 6 reads as under:-

> "It is, respectfully, submitted on behalf of the answering respondents that the petitioner did not submit his caste certificate, therefore, the caste of the petitioner was mentioned as "General". Had the petitioner submitted his caste certificate, the omission occurring in the seniority list could have been mended. It is, further, submitted that respondents are ready to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion from the year, 1998, if the petitioner, submits a valid caste certificate duly issued by the competent authority."

2. In view of the submission of the respondents stated in para 6, the petition stands disposed of.

3. The respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioner, within a period of six weeks, from the date the petitioner files caste certificate.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Court